

Example Decide whether $f(x) = x^4 - 2x^2 + 8x + 1$ as element of $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

By our thm above, if $f(x)$ has a linear factor over \mathbb{Q} then it has one over \mathbb{Z} , which by the corollary must be a divisor (over \mathbb{Z}) of the constant term 1. The only possibilities are 1 and -1 but

$$f(1) = 1 - 2 + 8 + 1 \neq 0$$
$$f(-1) = 1 - 2 - 8 + 1 \neq 0$$

$\Rightarrow f$ doesn't have linear factors. A factorisation, if it exists, should therefore be of the form $f(x) = (x^2 + bx + c)(x^2 + dx + e)$ (we can do this directly in \mathbb{Z} thanks to our thm!)

This leads to

$$x^4 + x^3(c+a) + x^2(ac+bd) + x(ad+bc) + bd \stackrel{!}{=} f(x)$$

equating the coefficients:

$$\begin{cases} (1) & a+c = 0 \\ (2) & ac+bd = -2 \\ (3) & ad+bc = 8 \\ (4) & bd = 1 \end{cases} \quad \text{with } a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} !$$

So $bd=1$ already tells us: $b=d=1$ or $b=d=-1$

also, substituting $b=d$ in (3) we get $d(a+c) = 8$ which is incompatible with $a+c=0$ (over \mathbb{Z})

$\Rightarrow f$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . — 11.11.19

For polynomials of higher degree, and under certain hypotheses, we can use the following.

Thm (Eisenstein Criterion) Let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a prime.

Let $f(x) = a_n x^n + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ with:

$$\begin{cases} \bullet a_n \not\equiv 0 \pmod p \\ \bullet a_i \equiv 0 \pmod p \text{ for } i=0, \dots, n-1 \\ \bullet a_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2} \end{cases} \quad \left(\text{that is: } a_n \text{ is not divisible by } p, \text{ all other coefficients are but } a_0 \text{ is not divisible by } p^2 \right)$$

Then $f(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q}

Example. $2x^4 - 3x^3 + 6x + 12$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} . In fact, 3 divides a_3, a_1, a_0 , doesn't divide a_4 and 9 doesn't divide 12.

⚠ Nothing can be said (using this criterion) for polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ for which no prime satisfies the requirements Ⓢ.

2019/19) • $x^4 - 8x^3 + 4x^2 - 6x - 2$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} : The prime 2 divides a_3, a_2, a_1, a_0 does not divide a_4 and 4 does not divide a_0 .

3.2 cont: Factorisation of polynomials

Here is a nice application of Eisenstein's criterion

Example The polynomial $\phi_p(x) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = 1 + x + x^2 + \dots + x^{p-1}$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} for any prime p

Gauss's Lemma & its corollaries tell us that it is enough to show that $\phi_p(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$.

Once again, we use the "trick" of first looking for factorisations after ~~the~~ substituting the indeterminate with a new one: call $\psi_p(x) := \phi_p(x+1)$ which means substitute $x+1$ for x in the polynomial $\phi_p(x)$.

So
$$\psi_p(x) = \frac{(x+1)^p - 1}{x+1-1} = \frac{?}{x} \quad (*) \quad \text{recall} \quad (a+b)^n = a^n b^0 + \binom{n}{1} a^{n-1} b + \binom{n}{2} a^{n-2} b^2 + \dots + \binom{n}{n} a^0 b^n$$

where $\binom{n}{k} := \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$ is the binomial coefficient

so in the numerator of $\psi_p(x)$ we will find coefficients of the form $\binom{p}{k}$ which are divisible by p for all k between 1 and $p-1$ (p is prime!)

$$(*) = \frac{x^p + x^{p-1} \cdot \binom{p}{p-1} + \dots + \binom{p}{1} x + 1 - 1}{x} = \frac{x^p + \binom{p}{p-1} x^{p-2} + \binom{p}{p-2} x^{p-3} + \dots + \binom{p}{1} \cdot 1}{x}$$

$$= x^{p-1} + \underbrace{\dots}_{\substack{\text{intermediate} \\ \text{summands w/ coeffs} \\ \text{all divisible by } p}} + p$$

The polynomial $\psi_p(x)$ satisfies the Eisenstein criterion
 $\Rightarrow \psi_p(x)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} .

How do we conclude that $\phi_p(x)$ is then irreducible?

Suppose $\phi_p(x) = f(x)g(x)$ were a proper factorisation of $\phi_p(x)$ in $\mathbb{Z}[x]$. Then also

$$\psi_p(x) = \phi_p(x+1) = f(x+1)g(x+1) \quad \text{would be a factorisation of } \psi_p(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

which we just proved does not exist $\Rightarrow \phi_p(x)$ is irreducible for all p prime (over \mathbb{Q})

Rmk $\phi_p(x)$ is called the p -th cyclotomic polynomial.

3.3 Field extensions

We have seen that if a polynomial is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} it might be reducible over \mathbb{R} , and it is (if degree > 1) reducible over \mathbb{C} .

Intuitively, \mathbb{C} is obtained from \mathbb{R} by introducing "i" and taking all numbers of the form $a+bi$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. i is defined as the root of the polynomial x^2+1 .

We won't mention how \mathbb{R} is "obtained" from \mathbb{Q} , but it is a lot bigger than it's needed to get reducibility for one irreducible polynomial. In fact, one can mimic what done to get \mathbb{C} from \mathbb{R} in order to get fields that contain \mathbb{Q} and over which a given polynomial becomes reducible.

The typical example is x^2-2 . We know that over \mathbb{R} this reduces to

$$(x-\sqrt{2})(x+\sqrt{2}).$$

Is it the case that taking all numbers of the form $a+b\sqrt{2}$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$ we get a field over which x^2-2 is reducible? Yes (cf Problem 30)

This field is a "simple extension" of \mathbb{Q} , also denoted $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$

In a field such as this one, multiplication and addition are the familiar ones. To compute the inverse of an element we can use a method very similar to that we use to find the inverse of a complex number.

For instance, in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ the inverse of $3-\sqrt{5}$ is:

$$(3-\sqrt{5})^{-1} = \frac{1}{3-\sqrt{5}} = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{(3-\sqrt{5})(3+\sqrt{5})} = \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{4} = \frac{3}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{5}$$

which as we claimed is again of the form $a+b\sqrt{5}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}$.