

Last lecture:

$$\pi_1(S^1, 1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$$

fundamental theorem of algebra

Any polynomial

$$a_n z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 z + a_0$$

with  $a_i \in \mathbb{C}$  and of degree  $n > 0$   
has at least one zero in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Proof Since  $a_n \neq 0$ , a scalar  
multiple of the polynomial has

the form

$$P(z) = z^n + a_{n-1} z^{n-1} + a_{n-2} z^{n-2} + \dots + a_0.$$

Let's suppose  $P(z) \neq 0$  for all  
 $z \in \mathbb{C}$ .

for  $\lambda \geq 0$  define

$$f_\lambda: S^1 \rightarrow S^1$$

by

$$f_\lambda(z) = \frac{p(\lambda z)}{|p(\lambda z)|}$$

Any two of these maps  $f_\lambda, f_{\lambda'}$  are homotopic via the homotopy

$$H_t(z) = \frac{p(((1-t)\lambda + t\lambda')z)}{|p(((1-t)\lambda + t\lambda')z)|}$$

Note: For  $\lambda = 0$  we have that  $f_0$  is a constant function, and has winding number 0.

## Exercise (tricky)

For large  $\lambda$  we have that

$f_\lambda(\mathbb{S}^1)$  is homotopic to

$$g_n: S^1 \rightarrow S^1, \quad z \mapsto z^n.$$

But  $g_n$  has winding number  $n$ .

But  $g_n \simeq f_\lambda \simeq f_0$ , and homotopic maps have the same winding number.

Hence the winding number of  $g_n$  is 0.

Contradiction,  $n \neq 0$ .



# Game Theory

A game involves

- $n$  players
- a set  $S_i$  of strategies for player  $i$ .
- a payoff function

$$v_i : S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

for each player  $i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Example 1 Two players, Mary and John. They want to go to the cinema (c) or to a soccer match (s) together.  $S_1 = \{c, s\}$  Mary  $i=1$ ,  $S_2 = \{c, s\}$  John  $i=2$ .

$$v_1(c, c) = 2$$

$$v_1(c, s) = 0$$

$$v_2(c, c) = 1$$

$$v_2(c, s) = 0$$

$$v_1(s, c) = 0$$

$$v_1(s, s) = 1$$

$$v_2(s, c) = 0$$

$$v_2(s, s) = 2$$

Example 2 Two players, each places a coin on the table. Player 1 wants coins to be the same, Player 2 wants the coins to be different.

$$S_1 = \{H, T\}$$

$$S_2 = \{H, T\}$$

Payoff function:

$$v_1(H, H) = 1 \quad | \quad v_1(H, T) = -1$$

$$v_2(H, H) = -1 \quad | \quad v_2(H, T) = 1$$

$$v_1(T, H) = -1 \quad | \quad v_1(T, T) = 1$$

$$v_2(T, H) = 1 \quad | \quad v_2(T, T) = -1$$

In a pure strategy game each player decides before the game on a strategy to play.

A pure Nash equilibrium

occurs if, having played the game, no player benefits from unilaterally changing his/her choice of strategy.

Example 1 There are two

Pure Nash Equilibria:

- both go to Cinema
- both go to Soccer.

Example 2 There is no

pure Nash equilibrium.