

Semester II Examinations, 2005/2006

| | |
|----------------------|--|
| Exam Code(s) | 2IF1, 3EL2 |
| Exam(s) | IF1 B.Sc. (Information Technology) EL2 B.Sc. Degree (Applied Physics and Electronics) (Hons.) |
| Module Code(s) | CT214 |
| Module(s) | Logical Foundations Of Computing |
| Paper No. | 1 |
| Repeat Paper | Special Paper |
| External Examiner(s) | Dr. J. A. Keane, Dr. D. Johnson |
| Internal Examiner(s) | Dr. J. Duggan, Professor J. Hinde Dr. E. Sköldbberg Dr. M. Mc Gettrick |

Instructions

Answer 4 questions, two from each section.
All questions will be marked equally.
Please use separate answer books for sections A and B

| | |
|---------------------|------|
| Duration | 3hrs |
| No. of Answer Books | 2 |

Requirements

| | |
|--------------------|-------|
| Handout | _____ |
| MCQ | _____ |
| Statistical Tables | _____ |
| Graph Paper | _____ |
| Log Graph Paper | _____ |
| Other Material | _____ |

| | |
|---------------|-------|
| No. of Pages | _____ |
| Department(s) | _____ |

Please use separate answer books for Sections A and B.

SECTION A
Answer Only 2 Questions

- A1.** (i) Explain the meaning of the terms *tautology*, *contradiction*, *contingency* and *logical equivalence*.
- (ii) For each of the following propositions, give its truth table, and hence or otherwise determine if it is a tautology, contradiction or neither.
- (a) $((p \vee q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow q$
 - (b) $\neg(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow \neg q)$
 - (c) $(p \wedge q) \wedge (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
 - (d) $p \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg p)$
- A2.** (i) Use the method of resolution to check if the following arguments are valid:
- (a) $\{\neg p \rightarrow q, \neg q \vee \neg r, \neg p \rightarrow r\} \models p$
 - (b) $\{p \vee q \vee r, (p \vee q) \rightarrow s, (q \wedge r) \rightarrow s\} \models s$
 - (c) $\{p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow r, r \rightarrow s, \neg p\} \models \neg s$
- (ii) State the completeness theorem of propositional logic, and by using it or otherwise show the following
- (a) $\vdash (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (q \wedge p)$
 - (b) $\vdash p \rightarrow (p \vee q)$
- A3.** (i) Find the disjunctive normal form of the following propositions.
- (a) $(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (r \rightarrow q)$
 - (b) $\neg(\neg p \vee \neg q)$
 - (c) $(p \vee p) \rightarrow p$
- (ii) Using natural deduction, show the following.
- (a) $\vdash p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow (p \wedge q))$
 - (b) $\vdash (p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee p)$
 - (c) $\vdash p \rightarrow \neg\neg p$
 - (d) $\vdash (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$

SECTION B
Answer Only 2 Questions

- B1.** (i) Consider the equivalence (biconditional) connective \leftrightarrow :
- (a) Is it idempotent?
 - (b) Does it have an identity element (if so state the element)?
 - (c) Does it have an absorption element (if so state the element)?
 - (d) Write down the law of the complement for \leftrightarrow .
- (ii) Using the laws of Propositional Calculus, prove the following:
- (a) $(p \wedge (q \rightarrow r)) \vee \neg p = q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$
 - (b) $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow (\neg a \rightarrow c)) = d \wedge \neg d$
 - (c) $p \wedge \neg(p \wedge q) = \neg(p \rightarrow q)$
 - (d) $p \wedge [\neg p \vee (p \rightarrow \neg p)] = q \leftrightarrow \neg q$
- Give a reason for each step, and if you combine several steps into one, list all the laws used.
- B2.** (i) Consider the following argument:
- “If it rains a lot in the Spring, the apple trees and pear trees will grow well. If either the apple trees or the pear trees grow well, there will be a large number of insects in the Autumn. Therefore, if it rains a lot in the Spring, there will be a large number of insects in the Autumn”*
- (a) Using Propositional Calculus, show whether or not the argument is valid, stating the laws you use.
 - (b) Write two alternative proofs, one using the Deduction Theorem, and the other using Reductio ad Absurdum.
- (ii) Prove that
- (a) Constructive Dilemma
 - (b) Disjunctive Syllogism
- are valid inference rules.
- B3.** (i) Let $R = \{x \in S \mid R(x) = 1\}$ and $P = \{x \in S \mid P(x) = 1\}$ where R and P are functions from S to the Boolean set $B = \{0, 1\}$. Draw Venn diagrams to illustrate the statements
- (a) “All R are P ”
 - (b) “Some R are P ”
 - (c) “Some P are R ”
 - (d) “No R is P ”
 - (e) “All R are not P ”
- Are any of these pictures the same?
- (ii) Let U_1 be the Universe of people ($x, y \in U_1$) and U_2 be the Universe of languages ($p, q \in U_2$) on which we define the following Atomic Predicates:
- $N(x, y)$: x and y have the same nationality
 $S(x, y, p)$: x speaks to y in language p
 $I(x)$: x is Irish
 $P(x)$: x is Polish
- Represent the following statements in Predicate Calculus:
- (a) Some Irish people speak to one another in the Irish language.
 - (b) People who are not of the same nationality always speak English to one another.
 - (c) Irish people never speak the Irish language to Polish people.
 - (d) If three people have the same nationality, then none of them is Irish.