

no. of items available	items available	Bag Capacity (kg)				
		0	1	2	3	4
0		0	0	0	0	0
1	A	0	0	5(A)	5(A)	5(A)
2	A,B	0	0	5(A)	6(B)	6(B)
3	A,B,C	0	0	5(A)	6(B)	7(C)
4	A,B,C,D	0	2(D)	5(A)	7(A,D)	8(B,D)

- We work through the table from top left corner to bottom right, going firstly from left to right across each row.
- The numbers in the body of the table are the *euro* values we can get, followed in brackets by the item choices.
- The number at the bottom right corner is the answer (8 euro: choose B,D)

To formalize this: let

$PROF(i,j) \equiv$  Best profit we can obtain from choosing amongst the first  $i$  items, with bag capacity  $j$ .

With this definition:

- $PROF(0,j) = 0$  (there are no items to put in our bag).
- $PROF(i,0) = 0$  (our bag has capacity zero).

When we introduce a new item  $i$  (i.e. we go to a new row in the table above), we can either choose the new item or not:

**Don't choose the new item  $i$**  Then  $PROF(i,j)$  is just  $PROF(i-1,j)$  (i.e. our previous choice for best profit, not including new item  $i$ ).

**Choose the new item  $i$**  From choosing the item  $i$  we get value  $v(i)$ . But now the current bag capacity  $j$  is reduced to  $j - w(i)$ . With this remaining bag capacity, the best profit we can obtain is  $PROF(i - 1, j - w(i))$ . So our total best profit here is  $v(i) + PROF(i - 1, j - w(i))$ .

Which of these two choices we make depends on which is best, i.e. we should choose the maximum! So we have

$$PROF(i, j) = MAX( PROF(i - 1, j), v(i) + PROF(i - 1, j - w(i)) )$$

Note:

- 1 The Dynamic Programming Strategy here gives us all the intermediate results (if we wanted them!)
- 2 The way we order the items is immaterial to the final result, but affects the intermediate results.
- 3 What if our bag capacity (or item weights) were not integers? Then we need to make more “fine steps”, in steps of e.g. 0.1, or 0.01 in the bag size.
- 4 The total complexity is just the size of the table (i.e.  $(n)(K)$ ) so the algorithm is  $\mathcal{O}(Kn)$ . For example, for 20 items, bag capacity 50, we need to calculate  $(20)(50)$  numbers, i.e. 1,000 numbers. A Brute Force solution here requires  $2^n = 2^{20} \approx 1,000,000$  calculations.

## Addendum

The complexity quoted above for the Dynamic Programming solution to the Knapsack Problem *depends on how the solution is implemented*:

**Iteration using a (2-dimensional) array** We simply fill out the table above, from left to right and then from top to bottom: i.e.

- Calculate (write down!)  $PROF[0][0]$ ,  $PROF[0][1]$ ,  $PROF[0][2]$ , ... (all these numbers are 0).
- Use the main equation to calculate  $PROF[1][0]$ ,  $PROF[1][1]$ ,  $PROF[1][2]$ , ...
- Use the main equation to calculate  $PROF[2][0]$ ,  $PROF[2][1]$ ,  $PROF[2][2]$ , ...
- etc.

- Use the main equation to calculate  $\text{PROF}[n][0]$ ,  $\text{PROF}[n][1]$ ,  $\text{PROF}[n][2]$ , ...  
 $\text{PROF}[n][K]$

**Recursion** We can just call  $\text{PROF}(i,j)$  as a *function* (of two variables  $i$  and  $j$ ):

**Base Case** If either  $i$  or  $j$  is zero, return 0.

**Recursive Step** Use directly the main equation.  
(We must be careful here to use an `IF` statement to check that  $w[i] < j$ , otherwise we may end up with a negative index and hence infinite recursion.)

- 1 The initial call to  $\text{PROF}(n,K)$  leads to 2 new calls (specifically to  $\text{PROF}(n-1,K)$  and  $\text{PROF}(n-1, K-w[n])$ ).
- 2 These then lead to 2 new calls each, a total of 4 calls to  $\text{PROF}(n-2,*)$ .

- ③ This leads (in worst case) to 8 new calls to  $\text{PROF}(n-3,*)$ .

In worst case this is  $\mathbf{O}(2^n)$ . In actual operation it will not be  $2^n$  because in many cases (when  $w[i]>j$ : i.e. the item does not fit in the bag) the call to  $\text{PROF}(i-1, j-w[i])$  will not be made. In the best case,  $w[i]$  is always greater than  $j$  (i.e. none of the items fit in to the bag!) and the problem is linear,  $\mathbf{O}(n)$  with only  $n$  calls to the function.

So to summarize:

Small  $n$ , small  $K$  Doesn't matter which method you use!!

Small  $n$ , large  $K$  Use Recursion.

Large  $n$ , small  $K$  Use Iteration (2-dim array).

Large  $n$ , large  $K$  Use Iteration (2-dim array).

## Nota Bene

When we say above, e.g. “small  $n$ , large  $K$ ”, the comparison is not directly between  $n$  and  $K$ , rather between  $2^n$  and  $K$ . For example, if  $n = 20$  and  $K = 1,000$ , this might look like “small  $n$ , large  $K$ ”, but when we compare  $2^n$  to  $K$ ,  $2^{10} = 1,048,576 \gg 1,000$ , so here we should still use iteration:  $K$  needs to be of the order of  $2^n$  for recursion to win out.

### Dynamic Programming Example 3: The Shortest Path Problem

We want to find the shortest path between any 2 cities on a (road) network. The distances of each “direct road” connection between neighboring cities are given.

Notation: we will write the distance between each of the  $n$  cities as an  $n \times n$  matrix called  $A$  where  $A(i, j)$  is the distance from city  $i$  to city  $j$ :

- 1  $A(i, j) = A(j, i)$ , i.e. the matrix is symmetric (the distance from city  $i$  to city  $j$  is the same as the distance from city  $j$  to city  $i$ ).
- 2  $A(i, i) = \infty \quad \forall i$  (i.e. we don't want the traveller to go from a city to itself).
- 3  $A(i, j) = \infty$  if there is no direct road from  $i$  to  $j$  (i.e. if  $i$  and  $j$  are not neighboring cities).

## Example

$$\begin{pmatrix} \infty & \infty & 2 & 12 \\ \infty & \infty & \infty & 3 \\ 2 & \infty & \infty & 5 \\ 12 & 3 & 5 & \infty \end{pmatrix}$$

The Dynamic Programming solution to this problem is commonly known as Floyd's Algorithm. We denote by

$D_{ij}^k \equiv$  The length of the shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  where only intermediate vertices with label less than or equal to  $k$  are allowed.

Note that

$$D_{ij}^0 = A_{ij}.$$